Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/542,274	ROLLAND, NORBERT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vinh T. Luong	3656
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Vinh T. Luong</u> .	(3)	
(2) Roland E. Long.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 September 2010</u>	Time: <u>12:50 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1 and 3.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Vinh T Luong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/542,274

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed the Applicant that the withdrawn claim 3 (or 15) lacks antecedent basis for the term "the intermittent rotary coupling." Applicant agreed to the Examiner's proposed amendment as seen in the attached sheet to provide proper antecedent basis for that term in claim 1 and put the instant case in the condition for allowance.